The 19th Integrated Workshop (18 – 22 NOV 2024, Shanghai, China)

Technical Presentations

Al based TC real-time analysis system in KMA

Woojeong Lee, Seonghee Won, Hyun-soo Lee

National Typhoon Center/KMA





2. Data and Method

3. Result 4. Summary 5. Future Plan



KMA issues an official 5-day forecast of position, intensity and size

for Tropical cyclones in western North Pacific

4 times a day every 6 hours at 0000, 0600, 1200, and 1800 UTC

for TCs which will be expected Korean Peninsula

8 times a day every 3 hours at 0000, 0300, 0600, 0900, 1200, 1500, 1800, and 2100 UTC

Forecasters are allowed a couple of hours for preparing the first draft of the TC 5-day forecast, considering that the needed time for multiple revisions through forecast discussion with relevant divisions and check the latest NWP and observation data.

00 UTC, 21 Aug. TD 15m/s(54km/h)

12 UTC, 20 Aug. TS 18m/s(65k (50 km SSE from Heuksando)

00 UTC, 20 Aug. 'TS 18m/s(65km/l (280 km SSW from Seogwipo)

12 UTC, 19 Aug. TS 19m/s(68km/h (240 km WNW from Okinawa)

00 UTC, 19 Aug. TS 19m/s(68km/ (290 km SW from Okinawa)

Rkinawa

18 UTC, 18 Aug. Tynhoon Formatio (60 km SW from Seosan



Workflow for TC 5-day forecast production system in NTC

- The estimation of current TC center, intensity and size is the first step for the TC 5-day forecast
- TCs develop on the warm tropical oceans, therefore, forecasters are highly dependent on satellite data to estimate current TC information
- Stimating the TC through satellite data analysis by each forecaster is both time consuming and subjective.



Al-based TC real-time analysis system



INTC developed AI based TC center, intensity and size analysis model each.

- Using three kinds of initial guess from ECMWF, KIM, KMA real-time analysis, the GK2A image is cut and perform the TC center analysis model. The average of estimation TC center resulting in TC center analysis model is used to TC intensity and size model as the initial position. The TC intensity and size analysis model is performed in parallel.
- In Running every 1 hour everyday and the each result provided to forecasters within 10 minutes

2. Data and Method: **1** Estimation of TC center



2. Data and Method: 2 Estimation of TC intensity

★ target variable







2. Data and Method: ③ Estimation of TC size

★ target variable



An example of **TC wind field and 34/50kt** radius estimated from AI model



TC wind distribution estimation model

Machine learning

Pix2Pix GAN

2. Data and Method: Al technique

- CNN and ConvLSTM are used for TC center and intensity analysis model
 - <u>CNN</u> (Convolutional Neural Network)
 - specialized for classifying images
 - ① <u>**ConvLSTM</u>** (Convolutional Long Short-Term Memory)</u>
 - capable of learning the temporal and spatial data
 - based on Long short-term memory for handling timeseries

Wimmers et al. (2019), Chen et al. (2019), Higa et al. (2019), Zhou and Tan (2021)

Smith and Toumi (2021), Dong et al. (2022)





2. Data and Method: Al technique

Pix2pix GAN is used for TC size analysis model

- specialized for converting images from one domain to another
- The generator and discriminator are trained together in a competitive process. The generator aims to produce images

that are indistinguishable from real images, while the discriminator learns to tell real from fake.

- utilized for transformation between TC infrared image and microwave image (Meng et al. 2022)





3. Result: Al based TC real-time analysis system

🕤 태 풍 실 황 활성태풍 과거태풍 분석이력

?



3. Results

TC center, intensity (MWS) estimated from AI based TC real-time analysis system for 2022 and 2023 are compared with KMA best track according to TC categories, TD, TS, STS and TY



• TC size estimated from AI based TC real-time analysis system are compared with SMOS/SMAP for 12.5 % of the period from 2016 to 2023, which is not included in training and test

3. Result: TC center



- Mean distance error of AI model with different the TC categories, TD, TS, STS and TY.
- Ihere is no significant difference between machine learning techniques
- Interstronger TCs, the smaller error becomes in the results of the both day and nighttime
- The error of TC center estimation except for TD are within ~55 km.

3. Result: TC center

Ĭ00°E

Comparison of TC center error distance (km) btw the AI model and best track in 2022 and 2023 0



140°E

120°E

160°E



dist



- Most of TC position errors are within 0 error of the 55 km (blue circles) corresponding JMA's position confidence "position good" (WMO, 2024)
- Relatively large errors when TCs are developing (TD) or turning into an extratropical cyclone

KMA TC best track positions at 6-h intervals along with the intensity of the TD, TS, STS, TY over the WNP in **2022 and 2023**

3. Result: TC intensity (MWS)



MWS estimation of AI model exhibit the relatively low MAE and MBE for moderate TCs (TS, STS) the relatively high MAE for weak and strong TCs (TD, TY), the positive bias for weak TCs (TD) whereas the negative bias for strong TCs (TY)

3. Result: TC intensity (MWS)



- scatter plot of each AI model and best track in 2022 and 2023
- Increases.
 All models tend to underestimate as the MWS increases.
- Interversion of AI model has high correlation (0.86 in 2022, 0.90 in 2023) with observed MWS.

3. Result: TC intensity (MWS)

• Comparison of **TC intensity (m/s) bias** (modl-obs) btw the AI model and best track in 2022 and 2023





 Al show positive (negative) bias when TCs are relatively weak (strong)

bias(m/s)

KMA TC best track positions at 6-h intervals along with the intensity of the TD, TS, STS, TY over the WNP in **2022 and 2023**

3. Result: TC size (R30)



Ν

NE

NW

- scatter plots of <u>R30 (km)</u> estimated from SMOS/SMAP and AI model for each 4 directions
- The correlation btw AI and SMOS/SMAP ranges from 0.36 (NW) to 0.79 (SE)
- AI tend to under-estimate the R30 for all 4 directions.

3. Result: TC size (R50)



Ν

NE

NW

- scatter plots of <u>R50 (km)</u> estimated from SMOS/SMAP and AI model for each 4 directions
- The number of sample for R50 is very small
- The correlation btw AI and SMOS/SMAP for 4 directions is 0.45
- AI tend to under-estimate the R50 for all 4 directions

3. Result: Case study (2306 KHANUN)



Ite comparison of the position of AI, KMA, CMA, JTWC, and JMA real-time analysis with best track

Al model gave a <u>relatively small error in the developing stage</u> compared with other agency's real-time analysis

3. Result: Case study (2306 KHANUN)



The comparison of the position of AI, KMA, CMA, JTWC, and JMA real-time analysis with best track

Al model gave a <u>relatively large error in the strong and weakening stage</u> compared with other agency's real-time analysis

It seems that as the TCs move to high latitudes, there are a lot of material (GTS, AWS, Radar, etc) to use for analyze

3. Result: Case study (2306 KHANUN)



- The comparison of the intensity of AI, KMA, CMA, JTWC, and JMA real-time analysis with best track
- Al tend to overestimate in the early and weakening stage of TCs
- Al overall well estimates the tendency of the developing and weakening of TC KHANUN as well as Lifetime Maximum Intensity (LMI) compared with other agency's real-time analysis

4. Summary

- It is save time needed for analysis on the current TC, we developed AI based TC real-time analysis system aiming to provide TC Forecasters with objective guidance in real time
 - each AI model for TC center, intensity and size has been developed in individual ways.
 - Al's real-time estimation results for 2022 and 2023 TCs show



(center) equivalent to "position fair" for TD and "position good" for TS, STS, TY based on the position confidence of JMA

(intensity) better estimate compared with intensity verification of ECMWF's AIFS

Two-year average position and intensity errors with different TC categories between AI and best track						
		TD	TS	STS	ΤY	
position error (km) (N)		<mark>64.5</mark> (60)	<mark>42.3</mark> (242)	<mark>25.8</mark> (165)	<mark>15.3</mark> (291)	
intensity (m/s)	MAE (N)	<mark>6.4</mark> (58)	3.1 (226)	<mark>4.0</mark> (163)	<mark>5.6</mark> (277)	
	MBE (N)	6.1 (58)	2.2 (226)	-0.5 (163)	-4.2 (277)	



- the comparison btw SMOS/SMAP and AI model for 12.5 % of the learning period show

(size) the correlations for 4 directions are 0.68 and 0.45 for each R30 and R50

5. Future plan

- It is supposed to operate the AI based TC real-time analysis system starting next year.
- For improvement of this system
 - need to learn more longer period or apply other advanced AI technique
 - verifications in more cases are needed to generalize the present results
 - include the interpretation of AI model's estimation results based on explainable AI technique
- We are planning to develop AI based one-step integrated analysis system from TC genesis detection to TC center, intensity and size estimation without initial guess since next year
- Separately, KMA is now developing the AI based TC prediction model for center, intensity and size at the forecast lead time from 1 to 5 days as well as analysis time.

Thank you for your attention!

3. Result: Case study (2403 GAEMI)







- AI estimate the radius of 30 kt well in TS
- AI estimate the radius of 30 kt relatively smaller than best track other than TS

	SMOS	SMAP		
organization	European Space Agency (ESA)	National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)		
Period	2009 ~	2015 ~		
Width	~1000 km			
Resolution	~40 km			



